PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Ta	PCT WHITTEN OPINION OF THE
see form PCT/ISA/220	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	Date of mailing (day-month/war) - see form PCT/SA210 (second sheet)
A STATE OF THE STA	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. International filing state (day PCT&G2004,000018 15.09.2004	ythionthipear) Priority date (daythonthipear) 06.04.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification an EO4H6O8	W 49°C
Applicant PETKOV, Minali D.	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention	d to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial supporting such statement cation I application ide this opinion will usually be considered to be a Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where se the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the mons of this International Searching Authority iften opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to isle, with amendments, before the expiration of three



Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80398 Munich Tel: +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Fernandez, E

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2486



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/8G2004/000018

30000	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
33.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of
	a. type of material:
	O a sequence listing
	🗆 table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b format of material:
	O in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/lumishing
	Contained in the international application as filed.
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	in addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

8	ox No.	II Priority				
1. E	The	following document h	as not been furnished			
	Ü	copy of the earlier	application whose priority I	as been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> 1 and 66.7(a))		
	Ċ	I translation of the (ariier application whose pr	ionly has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
				a validity of the priority claim. This opinion has it the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2. 1	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international fliing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.					
	was neve	not available to the IS etholess been establi	thed on the assumption the			
i Ac	was neve killions 3x No.	not available to the IS otheless been establi of observations, if nec of Feasoned state	thed on the assumption thi issary: ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(it the relevant date is the claimed priority date. 3(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or		
S Ac	was neve kiitons kiitons kiitons av No. dustris	not available to the IS otheless been establi if observations, if nec V. Reasoned state if applicability; citati	thed on the assumption thi	it the relevant date is the claimed priority date. 3(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or		
S Ac	was neve killions 3x No.	not available to the IS otheless been establi if observations, if nec V. Reasoned state if applicability; citati	thed on the assumption thi issary: ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(it the relevant date is the claimed priority date. 3(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or		
Be ini	was neve kiitons kiitons kiitons av No. dustris	not available to the 15 intheless been establi il observations, if nec il observations, if nec il observations if nec il observations il obser	thed on the assumption thi issary: ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(it the relevant date is the claimed priority date. a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or apporting such statement		
S Ac	was neve klitons ox No. dustris alemer	not available to the 15 intheless been establi il observations, if nec il observations, if nec il observations if nec il observations il obser	thed on the assumption this assary: ment under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(ons and explanations su	it the relevant date is the claimed priority date. a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or porting such statement		

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents::

D1: US-A-3 708 933

D2: Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 1996, no. 05, 31 May 1996 &

JP-A-08 00 4343

- 2 The present application meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT and involves an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to increase the use of the space of a school yard underground for functions which do not require sun light.
- The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since the combination of features of this claim is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art;
 - D2 discloses a demountable building usable as a garage comprising a construction which is at least two-storeyed (cf. figure 1) that includes reinforcing walls (cf. column 2, lines 42-44; figure 10), bearing intermediate pillars 22, 23, prestressed precast floor panels founding on supporting beams 24 as well as short consoles 26, 125 in the place where the floor is (cf. figures 1, 3 and 10), wherein the bearing intermediate pillars' 22, 23 length matches the height of the at least two-storeyed construction (cf. figure 1), the bearing intermediate pillars 22, 23 are arranged in rows parallel to a basic traverse line (cf. figure 1) and the pillars are restrained in monolithic footings 28 (cf. figures 3 and 10), wherein the short consoles 26, 125 are arranged in direction parallel to a basic traverse line, and wherein the bearing beams 24 are mounted to them in the same direction and have longitudinal footings (25) over which the floor panels (14) are affixed.

- A construction for <u>one-storey</u> underground garages is known from D1. The construction is provided with precast concrete-made walls 21, 22, 23, 24, intermediate pillars 3 arranged in rows and floor panels 51 founding on supporting beams 41 (cf. figure 3).
- 5 Claims 2-6 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.